## **New Orleans Baptist Theological Seminary**

## Summary of Juried Reviews

Faculty Jury: Drs. Craig Garrett, Steve Lemke Campus: Composite

Karla McGehee, Preston Nix, Philip Pinckard, Rhyne Putman, Ken Taylor

The following questions should be answered by each jury after completing your rubrics. These answers will guide the Academic Dean's Council as it considers what curricular improvements may need to be proposed to the faculty.

- 1. If not obvious from the rubric or other juries, please note specific **strengths to be sustained** in the degree program as a whole (and/or curriculum) found by the jury related to SLOs.
  - SLO1, SLO2, and SLO3: Field Mentor Rubric more direct assessment of the student on the field.
  - SLO2: Final develop a strategy; how to do church planning within one's context.
- If not obvious from the rubric or other juries, please note specific weaknesses to be improved in the degree program as a whole (and/or curriculum) found by the jury related to SLOs.
  - Not program-specific. Use specific *Student Satisfaction Survey* for the program.
  - Consider replacing questions noted in indirect measures with other forms of indirect measures if unable to get program-specific data.
- 3. Please note any **recommended or proposed curricular improvements** needed related to SLOs.
- 4. Please note any **recommendations or improvements for the process.** (Revision of rubric, reconsideration of SLOs, reconsideration of artifact used, etc.)
  - SLO2: Replaced the Filed Mentor Comments (indirect measure) with the Field Mentor Rubric. This was an improvement.
  - SLOs (overall): Change the assessment of the Indirect Measures to percentage only.